

# CASE LAW

## Powered by Fastcase

### HEINONLINE'S U.S. FEDERAL & STATE CASE LAW PROVIDER

#### A PARTNERSHIP FOR BETTER RESEARCH

HeinOnline has partnered with Fastcase, a leading next-generation legal research service that features powerful “best-case-first” tools that make research faster than ever. This partnership offers HeinOnline subscribers access to a wide array of case law at the federal and state levels and enables HeinOnline users to access the full text of cases through their HeinOnline subscription without the hassle of using multiple research databases. HeinOnline’s U.S. Supreme Court Library includes the U.S. Reports, which contain the officially-published opinions of the U.S. Supreme Court, and are available from inception to current.

#### ABOUT FASTCASE

As the smarter alternative for legal research, Fastcase democratizes the law, making it more accessible to more people. Using patented software that combines the best of legal research with the best of Web search, Fastcase helps busy users sift through the clutter, ranking the best cases first and enabling the re-sorting of results to find answers fast. Fastcase provides federal and state case law in an HTML format which can be downloaded to a PDF or printed.

#### CASE LAW COVERAGE

- ✓ Judicial opinions of the Supreme Court (1754-present)
- ✓ Federal Circuits (1924-present)
- ✓ Board of Tax Appeals (vols. 1-47)
- ✓ Tax Court Memorandum Decisions (vols. 1-59)
- ✓ U.S. Customs Court (vols. 1-70)
- ✓ Board of Immigrations Appeals (1996-present)
- ✓ Federal District Courts (1924-present)
- ✓ Federal Bankruptcy Courts (1 B.R. 1-present)
- ✓ State Appellate Courts
- ✓ State Supreme Courts

# FASTCASE

## TWO LEVELS OF FASTCASE VIA HEINONLINE

**Fastcase Basic:** Included at no additional cost with all HeinOnline Core & Academic subscriptions.

**Fastcase Premium:** Offers additional case law features for an added annual fee.

FEATURES	FASTCASE BASIC	FASTCASE PREMIUM
Case law linking from publications in HeinOnline	✓	✓
Case law citation look-up	✓	✓
ScholarCheck: Articles that cite the current case	✓	✓
Negative Treatment Indicator	✓	✓
Case-to-case linking	✓	✓
Print/Download PDF	✓	✓
Bad Law Bot full negative treatment analysis		✓
ScholarCheck: Cases that cite the current case		✓
Full-text and metadata searching of all case law		✓
Case law included in search results from HeinOnline's search all subscribed collections tool		✓
Access to Case Law via Fastcase's Platform		✓

# FASTCASE

## EXAMPLES OF KEY TOOLS & FEATURES

### Inline Hyperlinks from HeinOnline to Case Law

Similar to HeinOnline's "Citations on a Page" feature, case citations referenced in the text of any document in HeinOnline will link to the case in Fastcase. Links will be highlighted in blue, and clicking on the link will pull in the full text from Fastcase.

he reminded me in a note that said, "It is, after all, our duty to defend the Rule of Law and this is best done by teaching its methods to other Nations." He is very proud of a bookmark that he designed defining the Rule of Law, which later was adopted by the U.N. Committee on the Empowerment of the Poor and, as he reminded me, has been translated into about eight or nine languages.

<sup>1</sup> 595 F.2d 467 (9th Cir. 1979).  
<sup>2</sup> Pangilinan v. INS, 809 F.2d 1449, 1450 (9th Cir. 1987) (Kozinski, J., dissenting from denial of rehearing en banc), *rev'd*, 486 U.S. 875 (1988).  
<sup>13</sup> INS v. Pangilinan, 486 U.S. at 887.

All Citations Loaded  
Page 467

595 F.2d 467

12 ERC 2044, 9 Envtl. L. Rep. 20,252

PORT OF ASTORIA, OREGON, an Oregon Municipal Corporation,  
Concerned Citizens of Clatsop County, an Unincorporated  
Association, Dorothy Reikkola and Robert A. Fackler,  
Plaintiffs-Appellants-Cross Appellees,  
v.  
Donald Paul HODEL, Administrator of the Bonneville Power  
Administration, and Alumas Pacific Corporation, a  
Delaware Corporation,  
Defendants-Appellees-Cross Appellants.

Nos. 75-3465, 75-3621, 75-3699 and 76-1049.

Cited by 63 Articles  
Cited by 76 Cases  
MyHein  
PDF/Download

### Bad Law Bot

Fastcase's Bad Law Bot tool displays a red flag when negative treatment has been posted to a case. Click the words "negative treatment indicated" next to any red flag for a list of cases that have overturned or reversed the case.

▶ Stumpf v. Mitchell, 367 F.3d 594 (6th Cir., 2004)

Fastcase's Bad Law Bot **Beta!** - what other courts have said about this case  
Fastcase's Bad Law Bot reads through the citations in Fastcase, flagging negative court cases that have overturned or reversed the cited case.

The following cases have posted negative treatment of this case:

- United States v. Hayes (E.D. Mich., 2011)
- United States v. Ghavami, 23 F.Supp.3d 148 (S.D. N.Y., 2014)
- Hearn v. Commonwealth, 2005-SC-000708-MR (Ky. 8/21/2008) (Ky., 2008)
- Smith v. McQuiggin (E.D. Mich., 2013)
- United States v. Ulbricht (S.D. N.Y., 2014)

### ScholarCheck

Hein's ScholarCheck tool helps users find related items both within HeinOnline and via Fastcase. Look for blue highlighted hyperlinks throughout HeinOnline to link among related documents. ScholarCheck also counts the number of times an article or case is cited by other articles or cases, or is accessed by other HeinOnline users within a rolling 12-month period.

▶ Negative treatment indicated

All Citations Loaded

367 F.3d 594

John David STUMPF, Petitioner-Appellant,  
v.  
Betty MITCHELL, Warden, Respondent-Appellee.

No. 01-3613.

United States Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit.

Argued: December 11, 2002.  
Decided and Filed: April 28, 2004.

Alternative Version:  
CaseLaw Access Project

Cited by 17 Articles  
Cited by 45 Cases  
MyHein  
PDF/Download

# FASTCASE

## Full Text and Metadata Searching of All Cases

Clicking on the Advanced Search option within Fastcase will produce the search tool, enabling the user to search the full text and metadata of all cases included in the Fastcase database. Options to search by Case Name, Citation, Party, Court and more are also available.

The screenshot shows a search interface with the following elements:

- Text field: "miranda warning" AND "police custody"
- Case Name field: (empty)
- Citation field: (empty)
- Buttons: "AND", "AND", "Add field", "Venn Diagram Search BETA"
- Restrict to State Court: (empty)
- Date: (YYYY) (empty) To: (YYYY) (empty) Sort by: Relevance
- Search button

## Case Law Included in Comprehensive Search Results

With Fastcase Premium, case law is included in search results when searching across all subscribed collections in HeinOnline. Checking the box next to Fastcase from the search facets will display all cases via Fastcase that contain your search query.

The screenshot shows the HeinOnline search results page for the query "miranda warning" AND "police custody".

- Search bar: "miranda warning" AND "police custody"
- Advanced Search | Search Help | Search History
- Databases: (empty)
- Refine Your Search: 2,219 results searching for ("miranda warning" AND "police custody") in All Databases.
- Sort by: Relevance
- Check All | Uncheck All | Please select an option | Save/Email
- Collection/Library (highlighted in red):
  - Fastcase (1122)
  - Law Journal Library (682)
  - HeinOnline's Index to Legal Periodicals (630)
  - Core U.S. Journals (578)
  - Show More
- Title: (empty)
- Section Type: (empty)
- Topic: (empty)
- Location: (empty)
- Result 1: **State v. Hernandez**, November 7, 2013, State v. Hernandez, Case Summary
- Text snippet: focus, but not arrest. See Harrison , 66 A.3d at 442 (providing the interpretation of a "reasonable, Page 14 innocent person" as a factor towards a finding of **police custody**); Yarborough , 541 U.S. at 663 (considering **police custody** from the viewpoint of a reasonable person relative to the pertinent and surrounding circumstances). 4 Defendant's **Miranda Warning** Approximately one and one-half hours after his original statement, and in consequence of Det. Green's aggressive questioning, Defendant

For more information on Fastcase, including pricing, contact your sales representative or Marketing at [marketing@wshein.com](mailto:marketing@wshein.com)